

# Exhibit 19

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

In Re: CenturyLink Sales ) File No. 17-MD-2795  
Practices and Securities ) (MJD/KMM)  
Litigation )  
 ) Minneapolis, Minnesota  
 ) April 27, 2020  
 ) 2:00 p.m.  
)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATHERINE MENENDEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
(TELEPHONIC HEARING ON MOTION TO COMPEL )

## APPEARANCES

For Plaintiffs: BERNSTEIN, LITOWITZ, BERGER &  
GROSSMANN, LLP  
MICHAEL BLATCHLEY, ESQ.  
MICHAEL MATHAI, ESQ.  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
44th Floor  
New York, New York 10020

STOLL, STOLL, BERNE, LOKTING &  
SHLACHTER, P.C.  
KEIL MUELLER, ESQ.  
TIMOTHY DEJONG, ESQ.  
209 SW Oak St., #500  
Portland, Oregon 97204  
LOCKRIDGE, GRINDAL, NAUEN, PLLP  
GREGG FISHBEIN, ESQ.  
100 Washington Ave. S., #2200  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

For Defendants: COOLEY, LLP  
PATRICK GIBBS, ESQ.  
3175 Hanover St.  
Palo Alto, California 94304  
COOLEY, LLP  
BRYAN KOCH, ESQ.  
500 Boylston St.  
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

DEBRA K. BEAUV AIS, RPR-CRR  
612-644-5102

1 understand that we often find a middle ground in discussions  
2 with the Court and the Court often facilitates that.

3 I can't have an intelligible conversation about  
4 that right now because I don't know what's in those three  
5 productions. It's the first time they have been called out  
6 separately, at least as far as I know.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And I'm not expecting an  
8 apology from you. I'm just saying that even though I might  
9 share both sides' feelings about these issues not having  
10 really been crystalized to the extent that we know exactly  
11 what lines people are willing to compromise on and not  
12 willing to compromise on and bringing them over, the very  
13 heart of the dispute to me, I also recognize that we're here  
14 now and there's some value in working through these issues.

15 Let me ask you this, Mr. Blatchley: You've got  
16 five document requests here. You kind of repeatedly share a  
17 theme of, in your opinion, being easier for the defendants  
18 to just produce discovery that they already produced than to  
19 have to go looking for it anew. I rejected that fundamental  
20 premise at the very beginning of this case when I issued the  
21 oft quoted edict that we weren't going to have "cloned  
22 discovery." I did encourage counsel, and I continue to  
23 encourage counsel, to think of when economies of scale are  
24 possible and not just insist upon completely reinventing  
25 everything if there is a good solution.

DEBRA K. BEAUV AIS, RPR-CRR

612-664-5102